UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,514	01/23/2006	Linzhao Cheng	JHU1910-5	4565
28213 DLA PIPER US	7590 04/04/200 S LLP	EXAMINER		
4365 EXECUT		CROUCH, DEBORAH		
SUITE 1100 SAN DIEGO, CA 92121-2133			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1632	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/533,514	CHENG, LINZHAO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Deborah Crouch, Ph.D.	1632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
<i>,</i>	/ <del></del>					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	n parto gadyro, 1000 C.B. 11, 10	0 0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-64</u> is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) <u>1-64</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-64</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Election/Restrictions**

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to

elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-33 and 52-56, drawn to isolated, differentiated hES cells, a culture of undifferentiated pluripotential hES cells, methods of obtaining an expanded population of undifferentiated pluripotential hES cells, frozen aliquots of undifferentiated hES cells and methods for obtaining undifferentiated pluripotential hES cells.

Group II, claim(s) 34 and 35, drawn to methods of differentiating hES cells and the differentiated cells.

Group III, claim(s) 36, 37 and 39-45, drawn to a method for identifying an agent that alters a function of an undifferentiated hES cell, the method is in vivo.

Group IV, claim(s) 26 and 38-45, drawn to a method for identifying an agent that alters a function of an undifferentiated hES cell, the method is in vitro.

Group V, claim(s) 46-50, drawn to a method of obtaining a cell culture medium.

Group VI, claim(s) 51, drawn to enriched hES cell growth factors.

Group VII, claim(s) 57, 58 and 59, drawn to a method of ameliorating a pathogenic condition, where the pathogenic disorder is a degenerative disorder.

Group VIII, claim(s) 57, 60 and 61, drawn to a method of ameliorating a pathogenic condition, where the pathogenic disorder is an autoimmune disorder.

Group IX, claim(s) 57 and 62, drawn to a method of ameliorating a pathogenic condition, where the pathogenic disorder is diabetes

Group X, claim(s) 57, 63 and 64, drawn to a method of ameliorating a pathogenic condition, where the pathogenic disorder is an injury.

The inventions listed as Groups I-X do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Thomson (1998) teaches isolated, differentiated hES cells, a culture of undifferentiated pluripotential hES cells (pages 1144 and 1145).

Art Unit: 1632

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

/Deborah Crouch, Ph.D./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1632

April 4, 2008